CHECKLIST FOR REVIEWING DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

The following are elements that might be included in regulations protecting fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. Some elements are very important for fish and wildlife, while others may be considered optional. The descriptions of the elements are worded so that a "yes" response is favorable to fish and wildlife. If the element is considered optional or would have no adverse effect on fish or wildlife, a negative response is marked "n.a."

Relativ <u>Priorit</u>		<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
* *	Is there a statement of purpose ?		
* *	If there is a statement of purpose, are fish & wildlife adequately addressed?		
*	Is there a statement of applicability declaring what lands, land uses, persons, and/or organizations will be bound by the ordinance?		n.a.
* * *	If so, is the applicability sufficiently broad (i.e., no inappropriate loopholes)?		
**	Are regulated uses and activities in critical areas spelled out?		
* * *	If there are regulated uses, are they sufficiently broad to protect fish & wildlife?		
*	Are there provisions for allowable uses or general exemptions?		n.a.
* * *	If there are allowable uses, are they sufficiently restrictive to protect fish, wildlife, and habitat?		

Relative Priority		<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
*	Is there a reasonable use exception?	 n.a.	
	Reasonable use exceptions should be sufficiently restrictive to protect fish & wildlife resources. Examples of appropriate reasonable use exceptions include:ordinance would deny all reasonable use of the propertythere is no reasonable use with a lesser impact on the critical areaproposal does not pose a threat to public health/safety/welfareproposal is minimum necessary to allow reasonable use	ma.	
* * *	If there is a reasonable use exception, is it sufficiently restrictive?		
*	Are mitigation actions defined in a preferred sequence?		
* * *	Will the permit-granting agency review a development proposal and withhold , condition , or deny approval if it is not consistent with the ordinance?		
* *	Can the permit-granting agency require special studies and/or reports if a proposal might impact an ESA?		
* *	Are there provisions for appeals of decisions made by the permit-granting agency?		
*	Are there provisions for performance bonds ?		
*	Are there provisions for maintenance bonds?		
*	Will a record of notice be placed on the title of property affected by a critical area?		
*	Will boundaries of a critical area be clearly marked prior to construction activities?		

Comments:

SPECIFIC PROVISIONS

Relativ <u>Priorit</u>		<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
* * *	Do the regulations (usually via definitions/classifications of Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas) include WDFW's priority habitats ?		
*	If so, is reference to these habitats made specifically through WDFW's PHS Program?		
	Do the regulations (usually via definitions/classifications of Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas) include WDFW's priority species ?		
* * *	State Endangered, Threatened, & Sensitive?		
* * *	State Candidate		
* *	State MonitorPHS Game/Nongame		
*	Is reference to some or all of these categories made specifically		
	through WDFW's PHS Program?		
* * *	Do the definitions/classifications of Fish & Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas include habitats and species of local importance ?		
* *	If so, are habitats and species of local importance specified?		
* *	Is there a process to identify locally important habitats and species?		
	Are habitat management plans or special reports required when proposals may impact Fish & Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas with:		
* * *	State Endangered, Threatened, and Sensitive species?		
* * *	State Candidate species?		
* *	State Monitor species?		
* *	PHS Game/Nongame species?		
* *	Habitats and species of local importance?		
* * *	PHS priority habitats?		
	Are the contents specified?		
* *	Map(s)		
* *	Criteria for map(s)		
*	Expertise of person preparing the map(s)		
* * *	Report		
* *	Criteria and/or contents for the report		
*	Expertise of person preparing the report		
* * *	Required or possible evaluation of the report by WDFW		

^{* * * =} highest priority * * = high priority * = priority (1) Relative priority: